# Index

**ABILITY TO FAIRLY WEIGH EVIDENCE**
Evidence, this index

**ABILITY TO FOLLOW THE LAW**
Generally, § 22:1 to 22:4  
Case study, North Carolina v. Hightower, § 22:3  
Hypothetical questions and case-specific, distinguished, § 22:2  
Importance of, § 22:1  
Nullification of jury, § 40:6  
Roundtable discussion, North Carolina v. Hightower, § 22:4

**ACTUAL BIAS**
Generally, § 20:2  
Challenges for cause and standards for determining, § 21:4

**ADVOCATES**
Trial consultants as, § 5:11

**ALTERNATE JURORS**
Group dynamics, § 7:10  
Misconduct, § 40:8

**AMERICAN SOCIETY OF TRIAL CONSULTANTS (ASTC)**
Trial Consultants, this index

**ANONYMOUS JURY**
Array, motion to empanel, § 8:6  
Privacy, § 17:7

**ANTICIPATION**
Appeals, § 4:1 to 4:6  
Group dynamics, anticipating during deliberations and trial, § 7:12, 7:14  
Group participants, anticipating prospective juror’s roles as, § 15:1

**APPEALS**
Generally, § 4:1 to 4:6  
Anticipation of, generally, § 4:1 to 4:6  
Conferences, post-trial, § 4:5  
Interviews, post-trial, § 4:5  
Motions, post-trial, § 4:6  
Objections for appeal, preserving, § 4:1  
Panel, swearing in, § 4:3

**APPEALS—Cont’d**
Peremptory challenges, need to exhaust, § 4:2  
Post-trial interviews and conferences, § 4:5  
Post-trial motions, § 4:6  
Preserving objections for appeal, § 4:1  
Swearing in panel, § 4:3  
Transcribing voir dire, § 4:4

**APPENDICES**
Attorney-conducted voir dire, sampling of laws on, § App. B  
Challenges for cause, sampling of laws for, § App. E  
Hardship, sampling of laws on, § App. A  
Jury questionnaires, sample, § App. D  
Motions in limine, sample, § App. C

**AREAS OF INQUIRY**
Generally, § 27:1 to 27:7  
Attentiveness of jurors, § 27:7  
Attitudes of jurors, § 27:6  
Balancing Privacy and Relevance, § 27:3  
Court discretion, § 27:5  
Indoctrination, § 27:4  
Introduction, § 27:1  
Life experience of jurors, § 27:6  
Peremptory challenges, intelligent exercise of, § 27:2

**ARMED FORCES**
Military Tribunals, this index

**ARRAY**
Anonymous jury, motion to empanel, § 8:6  
Challenges to array  
  cross-section challenges. Fair cross-section challenges, below  
  fair cross-section challenges, below  
  hardship, § 9:2  
  reasons for, § 8:1  
Change of venue  
  motions for, § 8:5  
  sample motion for, § 8:8  
Close or delay proceedings, motion to, § 8:7  
Cross-section challenges. Fair cross-section challenges, below
ARRAY—Cont’d
Fair cross-section challenges generally, § 8:2
Jury Selection and Service Act, issues arising under, § 8:4
Florida v. Casey Anthony, sample motion for change of venue, § 8:8
Hardship, challenges to array, § 9:2
Jury Selection and Service Act, issues arising under, § 8:4
Motions
anonymous jury, motion to empanel, § 8:6
change of venue, motions for, § 8:5
close or delay proceedings, motion to, § 8:7
sample hearing on motion contesting array, § 8:3
sample motion for change of venue, Florida v. Casey Anthony, § 8:8
Objections to, generally, § 8:1 to 8:8
Reasons for challenging array, § 8:1
Sample hearing on motion contesting array, § 8:3
Sample motion for change of venue, Florida v. Casey Anthony, § 8:8
Venue
change of venue, above
sample motion for change of, § 8:8

ARTHUR V. ALABAMA
Death penalty cases, § 37:5

ASTC
Trial Consultants, this index

ATTENTIVENESS
Inquiry as to, § 27:7

ATTITUDE OF JUROR
Inquiry as to, § 27:6
Pretrial investigation, sample community attitude survey, § 6:11

ATTORNEY-CONDUCTED VOIR DIRE
Candor of juror, § 14:11
Controversy surrounding, § 14:13
Institutional support for, § 14:12
Parties, importance to, § 14:10
Self-restraint, importance of, § 14:14
Time is necessary for, § 14:9

ATTORNEYS
Conduct of voir dire. Attorney-Conducted Voir Dire, this index
Defense counsel’s examination of prospective juror, case samples, § 15:5
Implicit bias among, § 20:8

ATTORNEYS—Cont’d
Jurors, lawyers as. Legal Experience and Case-Specific Expertise, this index
Legal Experience and Case-Specific Expertise, this index
Local counsel involvement in pretrial investigation. Pretrial Investigation, this index
Peremptory challenges, implicit bias and trial attorneys, § 26:10
Pretrial Investigation, this index
Prosecution’s examination of prospective juror, case samples, § 15:4
Relationship of juror to, § 29:3
Sampling of laws on attorney conducted voir dire, § App. B
Trial consultants and, § 5:14
Voir dire conducted by attorney, Attorney-Conducted Voir Dire, this index

BACKSTRIKING
Peremptory challenges, § 25:3

BALANCING PRIVACY AND RELEVANCE
Generally, § 27:3

BALANCING THE EQUITIES
Current events and media, § 34:5
Rules of evidence, § 16:9

BEHAVIOR
Conduct or Behavior, this index

BIAS OR PREJUDICE
Generally, § 20:1 to 20:10
Actual bias, § 20:2, 21:4
Attorneys, implicit bias among, § 20:8
Bias and Ability to Follow the Law; An Inherent Conflict in the Framing of Questions on Voir Dire, § 24:6
Burden of proof, § 21:3
Challenges for cause, § 21:2 to 21:5
Courts, implicit bias in, § 20:7
Criminal Trials, this index
Definition, § 20:1
Discovery questions, generic and idiosyncratic biases, § 18:7
Discrimination, this index
Explicit bias, § 20:6
Gender bias. Race and Gender Bias, this index
Generic and idiosyncratic biases, § 18:7
Grand jury proceedings, § 38:2
Implicit bias
generally, § 20:3, 20:6
attorneys, implicit bias among, § 20:8
INDEX

BIAS OR PREJUDICE—Cont’d
Implicit bias—Cont’d
challenges for cause, § 21:2
courts, implicit bias in, § 20:7
Peremptory Challenges, this index
stereotypes and, § 20:9
Washington state’s approach to, § 20:10
Inferable bias, § 20:4
Peremptory Challenges, this index
Race and Gender Bias, this index
Rethinking the importance of bias, § 20:5
Setting aside of bias by juror, § 21:5
Stereotypes, § 20:9
Unconscious bias. Implicit bias, above
Washington state’s approach to implicit bias, § 20:10

BURDEN OF PROOF
Bias or prejudice, § 21:3
Challenges for cause, § 21:3
Criminal Trials, this index
Peremptory challenges, § 26:8

BUSINESS OR PROFESSIONAL
RELATIONSHIPS
Trial participants, relationship to, § 29:5

CAMERAS IN THE COURTROOM
Generally, § 17:8

CANDOR OF JUROR
Attorney-conducted voir dire, § 14:11

CAPITAL PUNISHMENT
Death Penalty Cases, this index

CASE ON THE MERITS
Pretrial publicity, effect on prospective juror’s ability to decide case on the merits, § 34:8

CASE SAMPLES
Group questioning and individualized voir dire, § 15:3 to 15:6

CASE-SPECIFIC QUESTIONS
Rules of evidence, § 16:5

CASE STUDIES
Ability to follow the law, North Carolina v. Hightower, § 22:3
Criminal trials, bias against defendants, § 36:5
Deselection and rehabilitation, § 19:5, 19:7
Educational background of juror, questions regarding, § 30:5
Lawyers as jurors, § 31:4
Louisiana v. Holmes, § 19:7

CASE STUDIES—Cont’d
Michigan v. Tyburski, § 18:4
North Carolina v. Hightower, § 22:3
Pretrial publicity, impact of, § 28:3
Roy v. Gream, § 19:5
Walker v. Mississippi, § 9:5

CASEY ANTHONY
Florida v. Casey Anthony, this index

CAUSE, CHALLENGES FOR
Challenges for Cause, this index

CELEBRITY JURORS
Pretrial publicity, § 34:10

CERTIFICATION
Trial consultants, ASTC certification, § 5:13

CHALLENGES
Array, this index
Cause, challenges for. Challenges for Cause, this index
Cross-section challenges. Fair Cross-Sections, this index
Implicit bias. Peremptory Challenges, this index
Motions in limine, registration of challenges outside juror presence, § 11:3
Non-verbal communication. Peremptory Challenges, this index
Peremptory Challenges, this index

CHANGE OF VENUE
Array, this index

CIVIL TRIALS
Generally, § 35:1 to 35:5
Arbitration agreements, cases involving, § 35:5
Equitable relief claims, cases involving, § 35:3
Introduction, § 35:1
Punitive damages, cases involving, § 35:4
Right to trial by jury, § 35:2

CLIENT CONSULTATION AND PRIVILEGE
Ethics, § 3:6
CLOSE OR DELAY PROCEEDINGS
Motions, § 8:7

COMMUNITY
Pretrial Investigation, this index

CONWAY, NORTH CAROLINA V.
Death penalty cases, § 37:6

CONDUCT OR BEHAVIOR
Misconduct, this index
Normative conduct and situational conduct, distinguished, § 18:8

CONFERENCES
Appeals, § 4:5
Pre-Trial Conference Strategies, this index

CONFIDENTIALITY
Privacy of Jurors, this index
Trial consultants, limitations on confidentiality, § 5:6

CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS
Generally, § 2:1 to 2:9
Fair cross-section of community, right to jury drawn from, § 2:5
Impartial jury, right to, § 2:8
Introduction, § 2:1
Prison garb, criminal defendant’s right to appear without, § 2:4
Public trial, right to, § 2:9
Right to participate in voir dire, § 2:3
Right to voir dire, § 2:2
Twelve-member jury, right to, § 2:6
Unanimous verdict, right to, § 2:7

CONSULTANTS AND CONSULTATION
Ethics, client consultation and privilege, § 3:6
Pretrial investigation, working with jury consultants in, § 6:4
Supplemental juror questionnaire, working with trial consultants, § 13:5
Trial Consultants, this index

CONTRACTS AND AGREEMENTS
Arbitration agreements, civil trials, § 35:5
Trial consultants, agreements with, § 5:8

CORRELATED LINES
Discovery questions along, § 18:10

COURT DISCRETION
Areas of inquiry, § 27:5

COURTROOM
Cameras in, § 17:8

COURTS
Cameras in the courtroom, § 17:8
Death penalty cases, Supreme Court cases regarding death qualification of prospective jurors, § 37:2
Discretion of court, areas of inquiry, § 27:5
Grand Jury Proceedings, this index
Group questioning and individualized voir dire, § 15:3
 Implicit bias, § 20:7
Military tribunals, § 39:2, 39:6
Peremptory challenges, Washington state’s approach to implicit bias in, § 26:13
Pretrial investigation, familiarity with court personnel, § 6:9
Privacy of jurors, cameras in the courtroom, § 17:8
Questioning by court or counsel, § 14:1 to 14:14
Supplemental juror questionnaire, § 13:2, 13:6
Supreme Court cases regarding death qualification of prospective jurors, § 37:2
Washington state’s approach to implicit bias in the, § 26:13

COVID-19
Managing jury trials during, § 12:7

CRIMINAL BACKGROUND
Pretrial investigation, § 6:14
Privacy of jurors, background checks, § 17:5

CRIMINAL TRIALS
Generally, § 36:1 to 36:8
Bias against defendants in criminal cases case study, § 36:5
Roundtable discussion, § 36:6
Burden of proof roundtable discussion, § 36:8
Sample voir dire, § 36:7
Case study, bias against defendants in criminal cases, § 36:5
Double jeopardy, § 36:4
Evidence. Burden of proof, above
Habeas review of state criminal cases, § 36:3
Presumptions. Burden of proof, above
Right to trial by jury, § 36:2
Roundtable discussions bias against defendants in criminal cases, § 36:6
Burden of proof, § 36:8
Sample voir dire, burden of proof, § 36:7

CROSS-SECTION CHALLENGES
Fair Cross-Sections, this index
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"CSI EFFECT"
Current events and media, § 34:2, 34:4

CURRENT EVENTS AND MEDIA
Generally, § 34:1 to 34:10
Balancing the equities, § 34:5
"CSI effect," § 34:2, 34:4
Effect of, § 34:1 to 34:10
Empirical evidence, inconclusive state of, § 34:3
High profile cases, § 34:6
Inconclusive state of empirical evidence, § 34:3
Introduction, § 34:1
Pretrial Publicity, this index

DAMAGES
Civil trials, cases involving punitive damages, § 35:4

DEATH PENALTY CASES
Generally, § 37:1 to 37:8
Arthur v. Alabama, § 37:5
Death penalty qualification, roundtable discussion, § 37:7
Death qualification of prospective jurors, § 37:1
Habeas corpus review in death penalty cases, limitations on, § 37:8
Limitations on habeas corpus review in death penalty cases, § 37:8
New Jersey v. DiFrisco, § 37:4
North Carolina v. Conaway, § 37:6
Questionnaires in examinations regarding death penalty, problems from use of, § 37:3
Roundtable discussion, death penalty qualification, § 37:7
Supreme Court cases regarding death qualification of prospective jurors, § 37:2

DEFINITIONS
Bias, § 20:1

DELIBERATIONS—Cont’d
Fair deliberations. Ability to fairly deliberate with other jurors, above
Group dynamics
anticipating group dynamics during deliberations, § 7:14
Group negotiations, deliberations as, § 7:17
Misconduct of juror, discussion of case prior to deliberations, § 40:3

DEMONSTRATIVE AIDES
Motions in limine, § 11:6

DESELECTION AND REHABILITATION
Generally, § 1:2, 19:1 to 19:8
Case studies
Louisiana v. Holmes, § 19:7
Roy v. Gream, § 19:5
Introduction, § 19:1
Leading questions, use of, § 19:4
Louisiana v. Holmes, § 19:7, 19:8
Rehabilitation of prospective jurors, § 19:2
Relationship between types of questioning, § 19:3
Roundtable discussions
Louisiana v. Holmes, § 19:8
Roy v. Gream, § 19:6

DISABILITY
Peremptory challenges, exclusion of jurors, § 26:5

DISCOVERY QUESTIONS
Generally, § 18:1 to 18:10
Case study, Michigan v. Tyburski, § 18:4
Correlated lines, questioning down, § 18:10
Crafting appropriate discovery questions, § 18:6
Follow-up questions, § 18:3
Generic and idiosyncratic biases, § 18:7
Introduction, § 18:1
Memory and participation, importance of, § 18:9
Michigan v. Tyburski, § 18:4, 18:5
Normative conduct and situational conduct, distinguished, § 18:8
Open-ended questions, § 18:2
Roundtable discussion, Michigan v. Tyburski, § 18:5
Situational conduct, distinguished from normative conduct, § 18:8

DISCRETION OF COURT
Areas of inquiry, § 27:5

DISCRIMINATION
Ethics, discrimination by jury selection, § 3:2
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DISCRIMINATION—Cont’d
Peremptory Challenges, this index
Race and Gender Bias, this index

DOUBLE JEOPARDY
Criminal trials, § 36:4

DYNAMICS
Group Dynamics, this index

DYNAMICS OF JURY
Group Dynamics, this index

EDUCATION OF JUROR
Generally, § 30:1 to 30:8
Case study, questions regarding educational background, § 30:5
Educational background generally, § 30:2
questions regarding, case study, § 30:5
roundtable discussion, § 30:6
Limitations on questions regarding, § 30:4
Questions regarding, § 30:1
Roundtable discussion, questions regarding educational background, § 30:6
Rules of evidence, educational and advisory questions, § 16:3

EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE
Current events and media, § 34:3

EQUITABLE RELIEF
Civil trials, § 35:3

ETHICS
Generally, § 3:1 to 3:12
Applicable rules, § 3:1
ASTC ethics code, trial consultants, § 5:12
Client consultation and privilege, § 3:6
Communications with jurors, § 3:7
Contact with jurors, § 3:9
Discrimination by jury selection, § 3:2
Investigating prospective jurors, § 3:10
Juror information, use during trial, § 3:12
Juror privacy, § 3:3
Manipulative questioning, § 3:11
Misrepresentations, § 3:5
Privacy of juror, § 3:3
Publicity of trial, § 3:4
Roundtable discussion generally, § 3:8 to 3:12
contact with jurors, § 3:9
investigating prospective jurors, § 3:10
manipulative questioning, § 3:11
use of juror information during trial, § 3:12

ETHICS—Cont’d
Trial consultants, ASTC ethics code, § 5:12

EVIDENCE
Ability to fairly weigh evidence generally, § 23:1 to 23:3
competing testimony, weighing, § 23:2
expert jurors, § 23:3
introduction, § 23:1
Burden of Proof, this index
Competing testimony, weighing, § 23:2
Current events and media, inconclusive state of empirical evidence, § 34:3
Empirical evidence, inconclusive state of, § 34:3
Expert jurors, ability to fairly weigh evidence, § 23:3
Misconduct of juror, consideration of excluded evidence, § 40:7
Rules of Evidence, this index
Weight and sufficiency of evidence. Ability to fairly weigh evidence, above
Witnesses, this index

EXCLUSION OF EVIDENCE
Misconduct of juror, consideration of excluded evidence, § 40:7

EXHAUSTION
Peremptory challenges, § 4:2

EXPERTISE OF JURORS
Ability fairly weigh evidence, § 23:3
Case-specific expertise. Legal Experience and Case-Specific Expertise, this index
Deliberations, § 24:2, 24:3

EXPLICIT BIAS
Generally, § 20:6

FAIR AND IMPARTIAL COURTS MARTIAL
Generally, § 39:6

FAIR CROSS-SECTIONS
Array, this index
Constitutional rights, right to jury drawn from, § 2:5
Grand jury proceedings, § 38:3
Hardship, peremptory challenges, § 9:3
Military tribunals, § 39:3
Peremptory challenges, hardship, § 9:3

FAIR DELIBERATIONS
Deliberations, this index

FILLERS
Group dynamics, § 7:6
HIPAA
Questioning jurors under, § 17:4

HOLD-OUTS
Group dynamics, § 7:7

HYPOTHETICAL QUESTIONS
Case-specific questions, distinguished, § 22:2
Rules of evidence, distinguishing case-specific and hypothetical questions, § 16:4, 16:5

IMMUNITIES
Privileges and Immunities, this index

IMPARTIAL JURY
Constitutional rights, § 2:8

IMPEACHMENT OF JUROR
Misconduct of juror, § 40:11

IMPICIT BIAS
Bias, this index

INDEPENDENT INVESTIGATIONS
Misconduct of juror, § 40:4

INDIVIDUALIZED VOIR DIRE
Group Questioning and Individualized Voir Dire, this index

INDOCITRINATION
Areas of inquiry, § 27:4

IN LIMINE MOTIONS
Motions In Limine, this index

INSTRUCTIONS TO JURY
Procedural innovations, simplification of jury instructions, § 12:6

INTERIM SUMMATIONS
Generally, § 12:5

INTERVIEWS
Appeals, § 4:5
Misconduct of juror, § 40:10, 40:11

INVESTIGATIONS
Ethics, investigating prospective jurors, § 3:10
Misconduct of juror, independent investigations by jurors, § 40:4
Pretrial Investigation, this index

JUDGE-CONDUCTED VOIR DIRE—Cont’d
Sample questions, § 14:4
United States v. Manafort, § 14:5

JUDGES
Judge-Conducted Voir Dire, this index
Relationship of juror with, § 29:3

JUDICIAL REVIEW
Appeals, this index

JURY INSTRUCTIONS
Procedural innovations, simplification of jury instructions, § 12:6

JURY SELECTION AND SERVICE ACT
Issues arising under, § 8:4

KEY STATEMENT
Motions in limine, § 11:5

KNOWLEDGE OF THE CASE
Generally, § 28:1 to 28:4
Case study, impact of pretrial publicity, § 28:3
Pretrial Publicity, this index
Significance of questions concerning, § 28:1

LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER
Experience as a Police Officer, § 30:7, 30:8

LAWYERS
Attorneys, this index

LEADERS
Group dynamics, § 7:4

LEADING QUESTIONS
Deselection and rehabilitation, § 19:4

LEGAL EXPERIENCE AND CASE-SPECIFIC EXPERTISE
Generally, § 31:1 to 31:7
Case study, lawyers as jurors, § 31:4
Lawyers as jurors generally, § 31:3
case study, § 31:4
roundtable discussion, § 31:5
Personal Experience with Legal System, § 31:1
Prior jury service, § 31:2
Roundtable discussion lawyers as jurors, § 31:5
victims of crimes, § 31:7
Sample voir dire, victims of crimes, § 31:6
Victims of crimes roundtable discussion, § 31:7
sample voir dire, § 31:6
INDEX

LIFE EXPERIENCE
Areas of inquiry, § 27:6

LIMITATIONS OR RESTRICTIONS
Death penalty cases, limitations on habeas corpus review in death penalty cases, § 37:8
Education of juror, limitations on questions regarding, § 30:4
Misconduct of juror, restrictions on post-trial interviews and juror impeachment, § 40:11
Pretrial investigation, § 6:2
Trial consultants, limitations on confidentiality, § 5:6

LOCAL COUNSEL
Pretrial Investigation, this index

LOOPHOLE HIDING IN PLAIN SIGHT
Hardship, § 9:4

LOUISIANA V. HOLMES
Deselection and rehabilitation, § 19:7, 19:8

MAGISTRATES
Federal cases, questioning by magistrate in, § 14:3

MANAFORT, UNITED STATES V.
Judge-conducted voir dire, § 14:5

MANIPULATIVE QUESTIONING
Ethics, § 3:11

MEDIA
Current Events and Media, this index

MEMORY AND PARTICIPATION
Discovery questions, § 18:9

MICHIGAN V. TBYURSKI
Discovery questions, § 18:4, 18:5

MILITARY TRIBUNALS
Generally, § 39:1 to 39:6
Fair and impartial courts martial, § 39:6
Fair cross-section requirements in military juries, § 39:3
General considerations, § 39:1
Military panels, jury selection for, § 39:4
Volunteer jurists, use of, § 39:5
Who may serve on courts-martial, § 39:2

MISCONDUCT OF JUROR
Generally, § 40:1 to 40:11
Alternate jurors, § 40:8
Deliberations, discussion of case prior to, § 40:3
Duty to participate, § 40:2
Excluded evidence, consideration of, § 40:7

MISCONDUCT OF JUROR—Cont’d
Impeachment of juror, § 40:11
Independent investigations by jurors, § 40:4
Introduction, § 40:1
Nullification of jury, § 40:6
Outsiders, communications regarding the case with, § 40:5
Post-trial interviews, § 40:10, 40:11
Restrictions on post-trial interviews and juror impeachment, § 40:11
Standard for exclusion of jurors, § 40:9

MISREPRESENTATIONS
Ethics, § 3:5

MIXED-MOTIVE ANALYSES
Peremptory challenges, § 26:2

MOCK TRIALS
Pretrial investigation, § 6:12

MOTIONS
Appeals, § 4:1, 4:6
Array, this index
Group questioning and individualized voir dire, motions for leave to conduct individualized voir dire, § 15:7
Individualized voir dire, motions for leave to conduct, § 15:7
In limine motions, Motions In Limine, this index
Objections for appeal, preserving, § 4:1
Post-Trial Motions, this index
Privacy of jurors, motion for limited closure of voir dire, § 17:9
Sample Motions, this index

MOTIONS IN LIMINE
Generally, § 11:1 to 11:9
Demonstrative aides during voir dire, use of, § 11:6
Ground rules for voir dire, setting, § 11:7
Introduction, § 11:1
Notes during trial, allowance of jurors to take, § 11:4
Opening or key statement, motion to make, § 11:5
Particular areas of inquiry, allowance of, § 11:8
Registration of challenges outside juror presence, § 11:3
Reservation of time for voir dire, § 11:2

NEW JERSEY V. DIFRISCO
Death penalty cases, § 37:4

NON-VERBAL COMMUNICATION
Peremptory Challenges, this index
Rules of evidence, § 16:6, 16:7
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NORMATIVE CONDUCT
Situational conduct, distinguished, § 18:8

NORTH CAROLINA V. CONAWAY
Death penalty cases, § 37:6

NORTH CAROLINA V. HIGHTOWER
Ability to follow the law, § 22:3, 22:4

NOTES AND NOTEBOOKS
Motions in limine, allowance of jurors to take notes during trial, § 11:4
Procedural innovations, § 12:4

NULLIFICATION OF JURY
Ability to follow the law, § 40:6

NUMBERS AND NUMBERING
Peremptory challenges, § 25:4
Twelve-member jury, constitutional right to, § 2:6

OATHS
Appeals, swearing in panel, § 4:3
Supplemental juror questionnaire, importance of sworn answers to questionnaires, § 13:7

OBJECTIONS
Appeal, preserving objections for, § 4:1
Array, this index
Peremptory challenges, timing of objections to opposing counsel’s use, § 26:9

OCCUPATION OF JUROR
Experience as a Police Officer, § 30:7, 30:8
Government service, significance of questions concerning, § 30:3
Law enforcement, experience as police officer, § 30:7, 30:8
Limitations on questions regarding, § 30:4
Police officer, experience as, § 30:7, 30:8
Questions regarding, § 30:1
Roundtable discussion, Experience as a Police Officer, § 30:8
Sample voir dire, Experience as a Police Officer, § 30:7

ON THE MERITS CASE
Pretrial publicity, effect on prospective juror’s ability to decide case on the merits, § 34:8

OPEN-ENDED QUESTIONS
Discovery, § 18:2

OPENING OR KEY STATEMENT
Motions in limine, § 11:5

OPEN JUROR POLLS
Group dynamics, § 7:15

OUTSIDERS
Misconduct of juror, communications regarding the case with outsiders, § 40:5

PANELS AND EMPANELING
Anonymous jury, motions, § 8:6
Appeals, swearing in panel, § 4:3
Military panels, jury selection for, § 39:4

PAROL ACTS OR MATTERS
Non-verbal communication. Peremptory Challenges, this index
Rules of evidence, evaluating verbal behavior, § 16:8

PEREMPTORY CHALLENGES
Generally, § 25:1 to 25:8
Allowable use, § 26:1
Appeals and exhaustion of, § 4:2
Areas of inquiry, intelligent exercise of peremptory challenges, § 27:2
Backstriking, § 25:3
Bias. Implicit bias, below
Burden of proof, § 26:8
Disability, exclusion of jurors on account of, § 26:5
Discriminatory use generally, § 26:1 to 26:14
allowable use of peremptory challenges, § 26:1
burden of proof, § 26:8
disability, exclusion of jurors on account of, § 26:5
gender, exclusion of jurors on account of, § 26:4
implicit bias, below members of other protected groups, exclusion of jurors as, § 26:7
mixed-motive analyses, § 26:2
non-verbal communication in voir dire, role of, § 25:6, 26:11
objections to opposing counsel’s use, timing of, § 26:9
race, exclusion of jurors on account of, § 26:3
religion, exclusion of jurors on account of, § 26:6
stereotypes and implicit bias, § 26:12
The Debate Over Peremptories Continues, § 26:14
timing of objections to opposing counsel’s use of, § 26:9
trial attorneys, implicit bias and, § 26:10
Washington state’s approach to implicit bias in the courts, § 26:13
Exhaustion of, § 4:2
Fair cross-section requirement, § 9:3
Gender, exclusion of jurors on account of, § 26:4
PEREMPTORY CHALLENGES—Cont’d
General considerations, § 25:1
Grand jury proceedings, § 38:4
Hardship, fair cross-section requirement, § 9:3
Implicit bias
stereotypes and, § 26:12
trial attorneys and, § 26:10
Washington state’s approach to implicit bias in the courts, § 26:13
Intelligent exercise of, § 26:7
Members of other protected groups, exclusion of jurors as, § 26:10
Mixed-motive analyses, § 26:2
Non-verbal communication
generally, § 25:5
discriminatory use in voir dire, § 26:11
evaluating significance of, § 25:7
significance of, evaluating, § 25:7
voir dire, role in, § 25:6, 26:11
Number of, § 25:4
Objections to opposing counsel’s use, timing of, § 26:9
Race, exclusion of jurors on account of, § 26:3
Religion, exclusion of jurors on account of, § 26:6
Response to criticism of, § 25:2
Stereotypes and implicit bias, § 26:12
The Debate Over Peremptions Continues, § 26:14
Timing of objections to opposing counsel’s use of, § 26:9
Trial attorneys, implicit bias and, § 26:10
Verbal behavior, evaluating, § 25:8
Washington state’s approach to implicit bias in the courts, § 26:13
PERSONAL EXPERIENCE WITH LEGAL SYSTEM
Generally, § 31:1
PERSONAL KNOWLEDGE
Pretrial publicity, effect of distinguishing, § 28:2
POLICE OFFICER
Experience as a Police Officer, § 30:7, 30:8
POLITICAL BELIEFS
Religious and Political Beliefs, this index
POST-TRIAL INTERVIEWS AND CONFERENCES
Appeals, § 4:5
Misconduct of juror, § 40:10, 40:11
POST-TRIAL MOTIONS
Appeals, § 4:6
POST-TRIAL MOTIONS—Cont’d
Privacy of jurors, motion for limited closure of voir dire, § 17:9
PREJUDICE
Bias or Prejudice, this index
PRESEQUING OBJECTIONS
Appeal, § 4:1
PRESUMPTIONS
Burden of Proof, this index
PRE-TRIAL CONFERENCE STRATEGIES
Generally, § 10:1 to 10:4
Introduction, § 10:1
Preparing for pre-trial conference, § 10:4
Rapport, establishing, § 10:3
Trial themes, importance of, § 10:2
PRETRIAL INVESTIGATION
Generally, § 6:1 to 6:14
Attitude, sample community attitude survey, § 6:11
Attorneys. Local counsel involvement, below
Client participation in, § 6:3
Community
local counsel involvement, familiarity with community, § 6:6
sample community attitude survey, § 6:11
surveys and demographics, § 6:10
Consultants, working with in pretrial investigations, § 6:4
Court personnel, familiarity with, § 6:9
Criminal backgrounds of prospective jurors, § 6:14
Focus groups and mock trials, § 6:12
Introduction, § 6:1
Jury consultants, working with in pretrial investigations, § 6:4
Limitations on, § 6:2
Local counsel involvement
generally, § 6:5 to 6:9
community, familiarity with, § 6:6
court personnel, familiarity with, § 6:9
local rules, familiarity with, § 6:7
reviewing juror rolls in preparation for voir dire, § 6:8
Local rules, familiarity with, § 6:7
Mock trials, § 6:12
Preparation, client participation in and, § 6:3
Sample community attitude survey, § 6:11
Sample community attitude survey, § 6:11
Surveys
community surveys, § 6:10, 6:11
demographics and, § 6:11
PRETRIAL INVESTIGATION—Cont’d
Surveys—Cont’d
sample community attitude survey, § 6:11

PRETRIAL PUBLICITY
Case study on impact of, § 28:3
Celebrity jurors, § 34:10
Controlling publicity during voir dire and trial, § 34:9
Merits, effect on prospective juror’s ability to decide case on the, § 34:8
Personal knowledge, effect of distinguishing, § 28:2
Roundtable discussion on impact of, § 28:4
Self-perception, effect on prospective juror’s, § 34:7

PRIOR JURY SERVICE
Generally, § 31:2

PRISON GARB
Constitutional rights, criminal defendant’s right to appear without prison garb, § 2:4

PRIVACY OF JURORS
Generally, § 17:1 to 17:10
Anonymous juries, § 17:7
Areas of inquiry, Balancing Privacy and Relevance, § 27:3
Attorneys, protecting jurors from the parties and their, § 17:3
Balancing Privacy and Relevance, § 27:3
Cameras in the courtroom, § 17:8
Criminal background checks, § 17:5
Ethics, § 3:3
Florida v. Casey Anthony, § 17:10
HIPAA, questioning jurors under, § 17:4
Introduction, § 17:1
Limited closure of voir dire, motion for, § 17:9
Other jurors, protecting jurors from, § 17:2
Parties and their counsel, protecting jurors from the, § 17:3
Post-trial motions, motion for limited closure of voir dire, § 17:9
Protection of jurors, § 17:2, 17:3
Public, protecting jurors from, § 17:6
Supplemental juror questionnaire, use to protect juror privacy, § 13:8

PRIVILEGES AND IMMUNITIES
Client consultation and privilege, ethics, § 3:6
Confidentiality, this index
Ethics, client consultation and privilege, § 3:6
Trial consultants, § 5:10

PROCEDURAL INNOVATIONS
Generally, § 12:1 to 12:7
COVID-19, managing jury trials during, § 12:7
Interim summations, § 12:5
Introduction, § 12:1
Juror notebooks, § 12:4
Jury innovation studies, § 12:2
Questioning of witnesses by jurors, § 12:3
Simplification of jury instructions, § 12:6
Timing of jury instructions, § 12:6

PUBLICITY OF TRIAL
Ethics, § 3:4
Pretrial Publicity, this index

PUBLIC TRIAL
Constitutional right to, § 2:9

PUNITIVE DAMAGES
Civil trials, § 35:4

PURPOSE OF VOIR DIRE, § 1:1

QUESTIONNAIRES
Death penalty cases, questionnaires in examinations regarding death penalty, problems from use of, § 37:3
Sample jury questionnaires, § App. D
Supplemental Juror Questionnaire, this index

RACE AND GENDER BIAS
Generally, § 32:1 to 32:4
Peremptory challenges, exclusion of jurors on account, § 26:3, 26:4
Questioning about gender bias, § 32:2
Roundtable discussion, racial bias, § 32:4
Sample voir dire, racial bias, § 32:3
Significance of questions concerning racial bias, § 32:1

RAPPORT
Pre-trial conference strategies, § 10:3

RECIPROCITY
Judge-conducted voir dire, § 14:7

REGISTRATION
Motions in limine, challenges registered outside juror presence, § 11:3

REHABILITATION
Deselection and Rehabilitation, this index

RELATIONSHIP TO TRIAL PARTICIPANTS
Generally, § 29:1 to 29:7
Attorneys, judge or other jurors, relationships with, § 29:3
RELATIONSHIP TO TRIAL PARTICIPANTS
—Cont’d
Business or professional relationships, § 29:5
Financial interests, § 29:4
Parties or witnesses, personal relationships with, § 29:2
Personal relationships with parties or witnesses, § 29:2
Roundtable discussion, familiarity with trial participants, § 29:7
Sample voir dire, familiarity with trial participants, § 29:6
Significance of questions concerning relationships, § 29:1
Witnesses, personal relationships with parties or, § 29:2

RELEVANCE STANDARDS
Rules of evidence, § 16:2

RELIGIOUS AND POLITICAL BELIEFS
Generally, § 33:1 to 33:3
Peremptory challenges, exclusion of jurors, § 26:6
Roundtable discussion, religious beliefs, § 33:3
Sample voir dire, religious beliefs, § 33:2
Significance of questions about, § 33:1

RESTRICTIONS
Limitations or Restrictions, this index

RIGHT TO TRIAL BY JURY
Civil trials, § 35:2
Criminal trials, § 36:2

ROUNDTABLE DISCUSSIONS
Ability to follow the law, North Carolina v. Hightower, § 22:4
Burden of proof in criminal trials, § 36:8
Criminal Trials, this index
Death penalty qualification, § 37:7
Deselection and rehabilitation, § 19:6, 19:8
Educational background of juror, questions regarding, § 30:6
Ethics, this index
Experience as a Police Officer, § 30:8
Familiarity with trial participants, § 29:7
Lawyers as jurors, § 31:5
Legal experience and case-specific expertise, § 31:5, 31:7
Louisiana v. Holmes, § 19:8
Michigan v. Tyburski, § 18:5
North Carolina v. Hightower, § 22:4
Occupation of juror, Experience as a Police Officer, § 30:8

ROUNDTABLE DISCUSSIONS—Cont’d
Pretrial publicity, impact of, § 28:4
Racial bias, § 32:4
Relationship to trial participants, familiarity with trial participants, § 29:7
Religious beliefs, § 33:3
Roy v. Gream, § 19:6
Victims of crimes, § 31:7

ROY V. GREAM
Deselection and rehabilitation, § 19:5, 19:6

RULES OF EVIDENCE
Generally, § 16:1 to 16:9
Balancing the equities, § 16:9
Case-specific and hypothetical questions, distinguishing, § 16:5
Educational and advisory questions, § 16:3
Evaluating significance of non-verbal communication, § 16:7
Hypothetical questions, § 16:4, 16:5
Introduction, § 16:1
Non-verbal communication, § 16:6, 16:7
Relevance standards in jury selection, § 16:2
Verbal behavior, evaluating, § 16:8

SAMPLE ANALYSIS
Group questioning and individualized voir dire, § 15:2

SAMPLE COMMUNITY ATTITUDE SURVEY
Pretrial investigation, § 6:11

SAMPLE HEARING
Array, motion contesting, § 8:3

SAMPLE JURY QUESTIONNAIRES
Generally, § App. D

SAMPLE MOTIONS
Array, sample motion for change of venue, § 8:8
Change of venue, § 8:8
Florida v. Casey Anthony, § 8:8
Sample motions in limine, § App. C

SAMPLE QUESTIONS
Judge-conducted voir dire, § 14:4

SAMPLE VOIR DIRE
Criminal trials, burden of proof, § 36:7
Experience as a Police Officer, § 30:7
Familiarity with trial participants, § 29:6
Legal experience and case-specific expertise, victims of crimes, § 31:6
Racial bias, § 32:3
Relationship to trial participants, § 29:6
SAMPLE VOIR DIRE—Cont’d
Religious beliefs, § 33:2
Trial participants, relationship to, § 29:6

SELF-PERCEPTION
Pretrial publicity, effect on prospective juror, § 34:7

SELF-RESTRAINT
Attorney-conducted voir dire, § 14:14

SETTING ASIDE
Challenges for cause, bias of juror, § 21:5

SHADOW JURIES
Pretrial investigation, § 6:13

SITUATIONAL CONDUCT
Normative conduct, distinguished, § 18:8

SOCIAL COMBINATIONS
Group dynamics, § 7:3

STEREOTYPES AND STEREOTYPING
Bias or prejudice, § 20:9
Group dynamics, § 7:13
Peremptory challenges, § 26:12

SUPPLEMENTAL JUROR QUESTIONNAIRE
Generally, § 13:1 to 13:8
Court approval, § 13:2
Court review of questionnaire responses, § 13:6
Crafting, § 13:4
Importance of, § 13:1
Jury privacy, use of questionnaires to protect, § 13:8
Procedural concerns, § 13:3
Responses, review by court, § 13:6
Review of questionnaire responses by court, § 13:6
Sworn answers to questionnaires, importance of, § 13:7
Trial consultants, working with, § 13:5

SUPREME COURT
Death qualification of prospective jurors, § 37:2

SURVEYS
Pretrial Investigation, this index

SWORN OR SWEARING
Oaths, this index

THE DEBATE OVER PEREMPTORIES CONTINUES
Peremptory challenges, § 26:14

THEMES—Cont’d
Trial consultants, trial consultant’s development of case themes, § 5:5

TIME OR TIMING
Attorney-conducted voir dire, § 14:9
Jury instructions, § 12:6
Motions in limine, reservation of time for voir dire, § 11:2
Peremptory challenges, timing of objections to opposing counsel’s use of, § 26:9

TRANSCRIPTION
Appeals, transcribing voir dire, § 4:4

TRIAL CONSULTANTS
Generally, § 5:1 to 5:14
Advocates, trial consultants as, § 5:11
Agreements with, § 5:8
ASTC certification, § 5:13
ethics code, § 5:12
Backgrounds, § 5:3
Case themes, use of trial consultants to develop, § 5:5
Confidentiality, limitations on, § 5:6
Ethics code, ASTC, § 5:12
Former jurors as, § 5:7
Lawyers and, § 5:14
Limitations on confidentiality, § 5:6
Privilege issues, § 5:10
Role of, § 5:1
Scientific jury selection, § 5:4
Selection, § 5:2
Who trial consultant represents, § 5:9
Working with, generally, § 5:1 to 5:14

TRIBUNALS
Military Tribunals, this index

TWELVE-MEMBER JURY
Constitutional right to, § 2:6

UNANIMOUS VERDICT
Constitutional rights, § 2:7

UNCONSCIOUS BIAS
Bias or Prejudice, this index

UNITED STATES V. MANAFORT
Judge-conducted voir dire, § 14:5

VENUE
Array, this index
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