Preface

As everyone who practices in this area of the law is aware, the pace of change in the law of trusts and fiduciary duty has accelerated substantially over the past twenty years. We have tried wherever possible to keep these supplements current with the rapidly changing law.

This year, we concentrated on trends in decisional law. Decanting statutes have been enacted in a number of states in the last few years and cases are beginning to emerge interpreting them. The Uniform Trust Code, which has been enacted in more than 30 states, continues to influence the development of the law even in states that have not enacted it. Where we see patterns beginning to emerge in these developments, we have added updates to the text of the treatise. In addition, wherever possible, we have included citations to journal articles and other secondary sources that comment on recent developments, predict future trends, and discuss new techniques in the planning, drafting, and uses of trusts.

We are continuing with our plan to completely replace the remaining volumes of the revised second edition with the third edition. A long-awaited replacement volume containing sections 361-410 was published in late 2018. A replacement for the volume containing sections 511-550 should be available by the time these supplements are published. A replacement volume for sections 751-860 is expected later this year.

The 2019 supplements benefited from the work of a number of people. I would like to acknowledge their contributions here and thank them publicly for their efforts. First, I wish to express my sincere gratitude to Barbara W. Johnson of the Knoxville, Tennessee, bar, and John L. Grigsby, recently retired from the Barbourville, Kentucky, bar. Ms. Johnson has worked with me since 1994 and Mr. Grigsby joined us in 1996. They have worked with me on all aspects of the organization, research, and writing of these supplements, including supervising the law students and younger lawyers who do our preliminary research. These supplements are as much their work as they are my own.
No acknowledgement would be complete without recognition of Professors Sibyl Marshall and Nathan Preuss of the University of Tennessee Law Library for their patience and knowledge in tracking down unusual sources, keeping us informed of new sources both in print and electronic media, and training new law students in efficient research techniques.

In addition, I would like to thank the following graduates of the University of Tennessee College of Law who have assisted me for several years in the research and writing of these supplements: Lauren E. Bellaflores of the Atlanta, Georgia, bar; Christian M. Craddock of the Baton Rouge, Louisiana bar; Matthew J. McClanahan of the Crossville, Tennessee, bar; Kevin M. McNelis of the Memphis, Tennessee, bar; and Kathryn S. Waddell of the Knoxville, Tennessee, bar. I also wish to acknowledge the many hours of careful and thorough research done by several students at the University of Tennessee College of Law: Brennan M. Foy, Ashley Hope Hamilton, and J. Blake Tate. Finally, I wish to thank my assistant, Tammy Neff, for her diligent attention to all of the details that go into the completion of an accurate manuscript.
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